

A global optimization algorithm for Intlab

Tibor Csendes, László Pál, and Mihály Csaba Markót

University of Szeged, Sapientia University, and University of Vienna



El Paso, September 30, 2008

The Bound Constrained Global Optimization Problem

Consider the bound constrained global optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in X} f(x)$$

where the n -dimensional interval X is the search region, and $f(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the objective function. We assume that there exists at least one global minimizer point in X , that is also a stationary point. The considered algorithm is based on an inclusion function calculated by interval arithmetic or by other techniques.

Basic algorithm

GlobalOptimize ($f, X, \varepsilon, L_{res}, f^*$)

$Y := X; \tilde{f} := \bar{f}(m(X)); L_{res} := \{\}; L_{work} := \{\};$

repeat

 OptimalComponent(Y, k_1);

 Bisection(Y, k_1, U^1, U^2);

for $i := 1$ **to** 2 **do**

$f_U := f(U^i)$;

if $\tilde{f} < f_U$ **then next** i ;

if $\bar{f}(m(U^i)) < \tilde{f}$ **then** $\tilde{f} := \bar{f}(m(U^i))$;

$L_{work} := \text{CutOffTest}(L_{work}, \tilde{f})$;

if $w(f_U) < \varepsilon$ **then** $L_{res} := L_{res} \cup (U, f_U)$;

else $L_{work} := L_{work} \cup (U, f_U)$;

if $L_{work} \neq \{\}$ **then** $Y := \text{Head}(L_{work})$;

until $L = \{\}$;

$Y := \text{Head}(L_{res}); f^* := [\underline{f}_Y, \tilde{f}]$;

return L_{res}, f^* ;

Additional accelerating devices

- monotonicity test
- concavity test
- interval Newton step
- a centered form inclusion function

We are about to implement the pf^* -based new heuristic rules, and a fitting combination of them.

Use

```
>> startintlab  
  
>> [intv, min, stats] = GOP(FUN, b, tol);
```

The content of the file sh5.bnd is:

```
S5  
4  
0 10  
0 10  
0 10  
0 10  
1e-8
```

A typical result

Function name: S5

The set of global minimizers is located in the union of the following boxes:

[4.00003713662883, 4.00003718945147],
[4.00013323800906, 4.00013329348396],
[4.00003713910016, 4.00003717168197],
[4.00013326916774, 4.00013328566954]

The global minimum is enclosed in:

[-10.153199679058694, -10.153199679058199]

Statistics:

Iter	Feval	Geval	Heval	MLL	CPUT(sec)
16	126	86	7	10	6.69

SIAM 100 \$, 100 Digits Challenge

Another telling example is the #4 problem from the set of the SIAM 100\$, 100 Digits Challenge announced in 2002 (ten exact digits were to be determined for each of the ten numerical problems). The function to be minimized was:

$$\exp(\sin(50x)) + \sin(60e^y) + \sin(70\sin(x)) + \sin(\sin(80y)) - \sin(10(x+y)) + \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + y^2).$$

We run our INTLAB based interval global optimization algorithm for the search interval $[-10, 10]^2$. The parameter file was:

```
SIAM
2
-10 10
-10 10
1e-8
```

SIAM 100 \$, 100 Digits Challenge result

```
function y = siam(x)
y = exp(sin(50*x(1)))+sin(60*exp(x(2)))+sin(70*sin(x(1)))+
sin(sin(80*x(2)))-sin(10*(x(1)+x(2))) + 1/4 * (x(1)^2+x(2)^2);
```

The obtained result was:

The set of global minimizers is located in the union of the following boxes:

c1: [-0.02440308068263, -0.02440307781118]
[0.21061242712377, 0.21061242717589]

The global minimum is enclosed in:

[-3.3068686474752584, -3.3068686474752287]

Statistics:

Iter	Feval	Geval	Heval	MLL	CPUT(sec)
238	1723	1151	90	75	75.63

Computational test results / basic algorithm

Problem	Dim	C-XSC code		INTLAB code	
		NIT	NFE	NIT	NFE
S5	4	84	307	83	305
S7	4	259	864	259	864
S10	4	310	1,016	313	1025
THCB	2	5,591	16,779	5,591	16,779
BR	2	149	480	149	480
RB2	2	75	250	74	247
RB5	5	2,339	7,063	2,339	7,063
L8	3	21	81	21	81
L9	4	28	109	28	109
L10	5	35	137	35	137
L11	8	141	477	141	477
L12	10	412	1,455	412	1,455
L13	2	22	81	22	81
L14	3	35	131	35	131
L15	4	52	194	52	194
L16	5	72	270	72	270
L18	7	614	2,100	614	2,100
Schw2.1	2	308	933	308	933
Schw3.1	3	32	119	31	117
Schw2.5	2	72	232	72	232
Schw2.14	4	924	3,011	924	3,011
Schw2.18	2	5,623	17,093	5,623	17,093
Schw3.2	3	110	355	106	342
Schw3.7-5	5	191	605	191	605
Griew7	7	216	729	216	729
R4	2	1,547	5,137	1,547	5,137
R5	3	355	1,235	355	1,235
R6	5	1,939	6,695	1,939	6,695

Computational test results, basic algorithm /2

Problem	dim	C-XSC code		INTLAB code	
		MLL	CPU	MLL	CPU
S5	4	14	0.02	13	14.20
S7	4	43	0.06	43	55.78
S10	4	58	0.17	55	93.73
THCB	2	1,128	0.36	1,128	178.58
BR	2	18	0.00	18	6.64
RB2	2	10	0.00	10	1.72
RB5	5	56	0.33	56	167.84
L8	3	8	0.00	8	1.94
L9	4	11	0.00	11	3.41
L10	5	14	0.00	14	5.22
L11	8	23	0.10	23	28.23
L12	10	44	0.71	44	111.63
L13	2	7	0.00	7	1.45
L14	3	10	0.00	10	3.09
L15	4	13	0.00	13	5.69
L16	5	16	0.01	16	9.55
L18	7	67	0.35	67	98.92
Schw2.1	2	44	0.00	44	11.63
Schw3.1	3	7	0.00	6	1.89
Schw2.5	2	7	0.00	7	1.44
Schw2.14	4	82	0.06	82	32.19
Schw2.18	2	678	0.48	678	104.73
Schw3.2	3	13	0.00	12	3.80
Schw3.7-5	5	32	0.01	32	6.59
Griew7	7	43	0.07	43	27.44
R4	2	348	0.11	348	51.39
R5	3	70	0.03	70	29.44
R6	5	226	0.48	226	264.31

Computational test results, sophisticated algorithm

Problem	Dim	Old, C-XSC code			New, INTLAB code		
		NIT	NFE	NGE	NIT	NFE	NGE
S5	4	16	126	86	16	126	86
S7	4	18	129	84	17	121	78
S10	4	18	126	81	17	123	78
H3	3	42	184	135	42	184	135
H6	6	217	1,014	735	220	1,038	756
GP	2	2,351	15,314	9,430	2,351	15,319	9,427
SHCB	2	130	694	455	130	694	455
THCB	2	56	327	229	56	327	229
BR	2	52	282	200	52	282	200
RB	2	43	263	172	43	263	172
RB5	5	612	4,907	3,685	607	4,878	3,664
L3	2	293	1,890	1,301	293	1,890	1,301
L5	2	88	578	397	88	578	397
Schw2.1	2	226	1,312	951	226	1,312	951
Schw3.1	3	14	91	61	14	91	61
Schw2.5	2	53	307	216	53	307	216
Schw2.14	4	369	2,600	1,820	408	2,780	1,913
Schw2.18	2	51	284	201	51	284	201
Schw3.2	3	33	201	135	25	164	110
Schw3.7.5	5	129	677	484	129	677	484
Schw3.7.10	10	7,566	35,385	25,771	7,566	35,385	25,771
Griew5	5	691	9,854	6,424	705	9,940	6,482
Griew7	7	40	272	163	40	272	163
R4	2	154	902	648	153	899	645
R5	3	173	1,555	1,174	173	1,555	1,174
R6	5	227	2,255	1,826	227	2,255	1,826
R7	7	380	4,437	3,711	380	4,437	3,711
R8	9	471	6,170	5,266	471	6,170	5,266
EX2	5	41,794	250,885	177,929	14,774	89,318	65,862

Computational test results, sophisticated algorithm /2

Problem	dim	Old, C-XSC code			New, INTLAB code		
		NHE	MLL	CPU	NHE	MLL	CPU
S5	4	7	10	0.01	7	10	10.14
S7	4	7	14	0.03	6	14	13.05
S10	4	6	16	0.03	6	17	18.56
H3	3	3	12	0.01	3	12	11.20
H6	6	25	69	0.33	27	69	113.47
GP	2	608	480	0.68	608	480	630.33
SHCB	2	25	51	0.01	25	51	21.06
THCB	2	22	19	0.00	22	19	8.11
BR	2	18	12	0.00	18	12	6.91
RB	2	15	11	0.00	15	11	3.17
RB5	5	411	77	0.45	410	73	220.30
L3	2	97	138	0.16	97	138	115.11
L5	2	28	31	0.04	28	31	41.06
Schw2.1	2	88	26	0.02	88	26	36.78
Schw3.1	3	5	6	0.00	5	6	2.67
Schw2.5	2	28	5	0.00	28	5	4.02
Schw2.14	4	179	78	0.09	190	65	76.53
Schw2.18	2	22	8	0.00	22	8	3.81
Schw3.2	3	12	9	0.00	11	7	3.34
Schw3.7_5	5	32	32	0.03	32	32	25.98
Schw3.7_10	10	1,024	1,024	11.35	1,024	1,024	2,585.11
Griew5	5	597	32	1.04	611	32	575.95
Griew7	7	7	52	0.05	7	52	20.63
R4	2	51	39	0.02	51	39	17.72
R5	3	109	43	0.10	109	43	75.22
R6	5	143	29	0.39	143	29	186.75
R7	7	257	47	1.59	257	47	526.50
R8	9	321	65	3.81	321	65	951.27
EX2	5	19,124	1,969	72.93	6,928	1,610	12,042.27

References

- T. Csendes: New subinterval selection criteria for interval global optimization. *J. Global Optimization* 19(2001) 307-327.
- T. Csendes and L. Pál: A basic interval global optimization procedure for Matlab/INTLAB. Proc. of the NOLTA2008 conf., Budapest, IEEE, 2008.
- T. Csendes, L. Pál, J.O.H. Sendín, J.R. Banga: The GLOBAL Optimization Method Revisited. *Optimization Letters* 2(2008) 445-454.
- L. Pál and T. Csendes: A global optimization algorithm for Intlab. *Optimization Methods and Software*, submitted.
- S.M. Rump, Intlab – Interval Laboratory. In: T. Csendes (ed.): *Developments in Reliable Computing*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999, 77-104.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful for the support obtained for the presented research in the form of the Grants Aktion Österreich-Ungarn 60öu6, OTKA T 048377, and T 046822.

The new Matlab/INTLAB based interval global optimization algorithm will also be available soon as a part of the GLOBAL package. The latter is to be downloaded from

www.inf.u-szeged.hu/~csendes.